

## Evaluation Subcommittee Meeting 8/13/15

In Attendance: Maria Silva, Melissa Bible, Tim Truckenmiller, Dr. Gordon Hodas, Mark Durgin

Staff Support: Monica Payne, Jill Santiago, Amanda Clouse, Corey Ludden

Standing Meeting will be the 2<sup>nd</sup> Thursday of the month from 9:00 to 10:30.

Additional Materials:



Data Through the  
Eyes - 6.18.15.pdf



Data Through the  
Eyes - 6.19.15.pdf

### Agenda

- Learning Institute and the experiences everyone had.
  - General Thoughts on the Institute-
    - Enjoyed the event and felt data presentation was good to bring back to the county for further work.
  - Data Presentation – reviewed feedback forms for our two presentations
    - Positive
      - Reports of good feedback
      - People seemed to enjoy the presentation
    - Any improvement ideas from the evaluations of our workshop?
      - More time needed.
      - Make a little shorter to give more practice time that is hands on.
    - We may have the ability to present this in other national conferences related to Systems of Care or wraparound. There is a conference in Tampa that will be taking proposals in September and the conference is in March. We could streamline the proposal and put together a request for funding to present on behalf of PA SOC Partnership.
- National Evaluation Site Visit for the first SOC grant
  - Data Process was noted to be good and improved.
  - The Evaluators had made comment that they were impressed with many aspects of the state/county.
  - Feedback for challenges
    - Too many people in HFW meeting
      - Many counties have CYS and JPO that are mandated to come to the meeting.
        - May need to look at data, and learn about how the process is occurring.
        - Need to make sure families understand the role of all system partners in a meeting.
        - Briefly looked at WFI-EZ “Effective Teamwork” scores and questions to see if data matched this opinion. This is an area of need for HFW CQI.
- Changes with State Leadership and Management Team
  - Discussed Article 7 Committees on the SLMT Operating Guidelines
    - Now clear connection to the SLMT instead of the YFTI Advisory Board.
    - SLMT Members must join a subcommittee so we may be getting new members
    - Our focus may expand to HFW, SOC, HT, and Safe Schools Healthy Students
  - Discussed Healthy Transitions Grant in some detail
    - This is a three county grant targeting transition age youth 16-25 (Berks, Bucks and Washington)
    - Asked to send information out to review.

- Monica will attend federal TA site visit next week and ask if we can share the county/state PowerPoints to learn more about what the counties are doing.
      - Maria shared a site to learn more about this: <http://www.pasocpartnership.org/resources/pa-healthy-transitions>
- Completed a document reporting about our subcommittee to the SLMT
  - Accomplishments:
    - 3 Tip Sheets - Data Resources, Data 101, How to use data
    - Workshop about “Data through the eyes of youth, family, and system partners”
    - Input on County Assessment Survey
    - Input on Data Sharing Protocols
    - Input to our Continuous Quality Improvement Reports
  - Concerns:
    - Do we have enough members that have input/experience in each of the grants/initiatives covered by the SLMT?
    - Do we have enough information about all of the programs to give input and time to address all of the data needs?
    - May need additional training for the two new initiatives that we are providing input around.
  - Recommendations for collecting data.
    - Can our planning processes get youth home quicker and with cost savings? (Even if they can access Medicaid information, it is hard to access county funding/needs-based dollars to see big picture.)
    - How to access cost effectiveness of cross-funding streams?
    - How can we see cross-systems outcomes/data from other planning processes? (Family Group Decision Making)
    - Many counties are completing satisfaction surveys around JPO and CYF involvement; can we start to look at these for our System of Care families to see if it makes a difference?
- Strategic planning for the Subcommittee:
  - Talked about our current membership
  - Strengths of our members (we have 2 new members that have joined our last meeting - Melissa and Jessica)
  - 2 new members that were interested in joining after the Learning Institute - Alan and Sharon – will hopefully join next month
  - Do we need to update attendance and membership for persons who have not attended a meeting in a while?
  - It was also mentioned about looking at diversity and ethnicity to make sure we represent the state and can find people who are interested in data.
  - Thoughts
    - Current charter was for 12 members but we are up to 17 - is this a good number to keep or do we need more or less.
    - Should we allow members to join when they can or should we put more structure/parameters around membership rules?
    - Are the tri-chairs still willing to keep the role or should they change?
    - We need to get input from the SLMT and see if we need to follow their bylaws.
    - We should make some decisions about updating the charter at the next meeting or after we get some input from the SLMT. Monica will attend a meeting soon and pass on our report for their discussion and input.
  - Brainstorm ideas for how we want to focus our efforts over the next year
  - For next meeting we need to come up with clear goals for the next year so that we can keep developing useful products like the tip sheets and workshop.
- Draft Descriptive Data report for High Fidelity Wraparound Chart Forms
  - Live Since January 2015, covers one county’s data (deidentified) and will be distributed regularly every 6 months to counties once it is finalized and approved.

- This report structure for the descriptive/demographic data will be used for Healthy Transitions, SOC, HFW and other programs through our evaluation team.
- Will be automating the data reports so they can be requested easily.
- Report is in very rough draft.
- Data can be sorted by outcomes and categories to look for disparities.
- It includes input that we have heard through various data presentations about multi-system youth, out of home placement, natural supports, system changes, etc.
- Members should review and give input on style, format, content, ideas, etc. but remember that it is a draft and all the systems aren't even formatted and included yet.
- We will look at a more complete version next month and gather feedback from everyone about this report.
- Wait to share this report with any system partners – just for Evaluation Subcommittee feedback at this time.

Next meeting: Thursday, September 10, 2015 from 9:00 to 10:30